



Carnegie Mellon University

The Effect of Reverberation on Interrupted **Spatial Attention**

Victoria Figarola¹, Wusheng Liang¹, Abigail Noyce¹, Christopher A. Brown², Barbara G. Shinn-Cunningham¹

¹Carnegie Mellon University, ²University of Pittsburgh





Background







Reverberation interfere can with speech intelligibility and impede selective attention by smearing out features (temporally and spectrally)²⁻⁹

A way to model how a sound source is perceived coming into our ears in a reverberant environment is through binaural room impulse responses (BRIRs)

Conducted online spatial selective attention experiments to investigate how reverberation affects 1) target stream recall and 2) disruption from novel, unexpected events

Results

Experiment 1: Reverberation had no effect on performance

- N=45 (self-reported normal hearing)
- RT60: 743ms
- Anechoic & Reverberant conditions were randomly intermingled



Experiment 2: Blocking also yielded no difference

- N=45 (self-reported normal hearing)
- RT60: 743ms
- Anechoic & Reverberant conditions were blocked

Approach

- Two competing syllable streams
- Temporally interleaved
- Spatialized using BRIRs
- With and without random interruptions
- 96 total trials, with 50% trials
 - Target left/right (randomly intermingled)
 - Interrupted/uninterrupted (randomly intermingled)
 - Pseudo-anechoic/reverberant
 - Experiment 1: randomly intermingled
 - Experiment 2: blocked separately
- Classroom BRIRs⁹:
- 1m distance
- 0-deg elevation
- Ears ~1.5m above the floor
- Azimuths left and right 30-deg and 90-deg



Interrupter: the effect we're interested in



Conclusions

- There was no difference in performance across subject groups
- Reverberation had **no significant effect** on overall performance
- Reverberation had **no significant effect** on overall disruptions caused by interrupters
- In both reverberant and pseudo-anechoic conditions, **interrupter reduced recall accuracy** of the syllable immediately after interrupter by 20%

National Institutes

of Health





Next Steps

- Finish task 3 data collection
- Recorded BRIRs (RT60: 1.919 sec)
- Similar design as task 2
- EEG and pupillometry to study how neural signatures of spatial attention are impacted by reverberation
- Expand to hearing impaired population





Acknowledgements

This work is supported by: NIH Training grant (T32-DC011499) & NIH Informational Masking grant (R01-DC019126). NIH Poster Outline: Austin Luor (Carnegie Mellon University)

Contact: vif@andrew.cmu.edu

- 1 W. Liang, C.A. Brown, B.G. Shinn-Cunningham, Cat-astrophic effects of suddening Acoust, Soc. Am 151 (2022) 3219 2 P. Zahorik, E. Brandewie, Perceptual adaptation to room acoustics and effects on speech in ns, Proc. Forum Acust. (2011) 2167-
- 4 M. Lavandier, J.F. Culling, Speech segregation in rooms: 5 M. Lavandier, J.F. Culling, Speech segregation in rooms; monaural, binaural, and interacting effects of reverberation 2237-224
- 6 A.K.C. Lee, B.G. Shinn-Cunningham, Effects of reverberant spatial cues on attention-dependent object formation, J. Assoc. Res. Otolaryngol. 9 (2008) 150 - 160
- 7 J.F. Culling, K.I. Hodder, ects of reverberation on perceptual segregation of competing
- earing listeners, J. Assoc. Res. Otolaryngol. 12 (2011) 395-405.
- 9 B.G. Shinn-Cunningham, N. Kopco, T.J. Martin, Localizing nearby sound sources in a classroom binaural room impulse responses, J. Acoust Soc. Am. 117 (2005) 3100–3115